

आयुक्त का कार्यालय, (अपीलस) Office of the Commissioner,

NATION TAX MARKET

केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अहमदाबाद आयुक्तालय

Central GST, Appeal Commissionerate- Ahmedabad जीएसटी भवन, राजस्व मार्ग, अम्बावाड़ी अहमदाबाद ३८००१५.

CGST Bhavan, Revenue Marg, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad 380015

ब: 079-26305065 **टेलेफैक्स**: 079 - 26305136

post Speed द्वारा

7608-107612

क	फाइल संख्या (File No.) : V2(52)102 /North/Appeals/ 2018-19	
ख	अपील आदेश संख्या (Order-In-Appeal No.): <u>AHM-EXCUS-002-APP-114-18-19</u> दिनांक (Date): 02-Nov-18 जारी करने की तारीख (Date of issue): <u>/2//2/2</u> 0/8	2)
	श्री उमा शंकर, आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित	
	Passed by Shri Uma Shanker , Commissioner (Appeals)	
J].	आयुक्त, केंद्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, (मंडल-II), अहमदाबाद उत्तर, आयुक्तालय द्वारा जारी	
	मूल आदेश सं दिनांक से सृजित	
ii.	Arising out of Order-In-Original No MP/06/Reb/18-19 Dated: 07/05/2018	
	issued by: Assistant Commissioner-Central Excise (Div-II), Ahmedabad North	

ा अपीलकर्ता/प्रतिवादी का नाम एवम पता (Name & Address of the Appellant/Respondent)

M/s Asarwa Mills

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे वताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील या पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है |

Any person an aggrieved by this .Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way:

भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन :

Revision application to Government of India:

(1) (क) (i) केंद्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम 1994 की धरा अतत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त धारा को उप-धारा के प्रथम परंतुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अधीन सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001 को की जानी चाहिए।

A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Government of India, Revision Application Unit, Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid:

(ii) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब हानि कारखाने से किसी भंडारगार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भंडारगार से दूसरे भंडारगार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भंडारगार या भंडार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भंडारगार में हो माल की प्रकिया के दौरान हुई हो |

In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse

(म) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामले में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है ।



- (h) In case or repate or duty or excise on goods exported to any country of territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
- (ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात 'किया गया माल हैं ।
- (c) In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.
- (घ) अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो इ्यूटी क्रेडीट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (न.2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो ।
- (d) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec. 109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.
- (१) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपत्र संख्या इए-8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आंदेश प्रेषित दिनांक से तीन भास के भीतर मूल-आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो-दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए । उसके साथ खाता इ. के मुख्यशीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35-इ में निर्धारित की के भुगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर-6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए ।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(२) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये या उससे कम हो तो रूपये 200/- फ़ीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये से ज्यादा हो तो रूपये 1000/- फ़ीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 200/- where the amount involved in Rupees One Lac or less and Rs. 1000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील :-Appeal to Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal:-

- (1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-बी/35-इ के अंतर्गत:-Under Section 35B/35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to:-
 - (क) वर्गीकरण मूल्यांकन से सम्बन्धित सभी मामले सीमा शुल्क, केंद्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकः अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की विशेष पीठिका वेस्ट ब्लॉक न. 3. आर. के. पुरम, नई दिल्ली को एवं The special bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal of West Block No. 2, R.K. Puram, New Delhi in all matters relating to classification valuation and

रेखाकित बैंक ड्राफ्ट के रूप में संबंध की जाये। यह ड्राफ्ट उस स्थान के किसी नामित सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र के बैंक को शाखा का हो जहाँ उक्त न्यायाधिकरण की पीठ स्थित है।

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated.

ं यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल ओदश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त दम से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढ़ी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथारिथति अपीलीय -सायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता हैं।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner not withstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूचि-1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूल आदेश यथारिधति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रति पर रू.६.५० पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क िकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-litem of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

इंग ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्दीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention in invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट), के प्रति अपीलो के मामले में कर्तव्य मांग (Demand) एवं दंड (Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ क्षाए है ।(Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवा कर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा "कर्तव्य की मांग"(Duty Demanded) -

- (Section) खंड 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि;
- लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेंडिट की राशि; (ii)
- रोनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि.

यह पूर्व जमा 'लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना में, अपील' दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है.

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

amount determined under Section 11 D;

amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken; (ii)

amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules. (iii)

इस सन्दर्भ में इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of above. of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute."

ORDER-IN-APPEAL

M/s. Asarwa Mills (A Div. of Bengal Tea & Fabrics Ltd.), Asarwa Road, Ahmedabad (henceforth, "appellant") has filed the present appeal against the Order-in-original No. MP/06/REB/AC/2018/KDB dated 07.05.2018 (henceforth, "impugned order") passed by the Assistant Commissioner, Central GST, Div-II, Ahmedabad - North (henceforth, "adjudicating authority").

- 2. The facts of the case, in very brief, are that the appellant, a manufacturer of goods falling under Chapter 52 of CETA, 1985, filed some (3) rebate claims worth Rs.7,67,490/- under rule 18 of the Central Excise Rules, 2002 in respect of goods exported under payment of duty. Scrutiny of documents submitted with the rebate claims revealed that appellant had also claimed duty drawback under Customs, Central Excise Duties and Service Tax Drawback Rules, 1995 (*DBK Rules*, for short) at higher rate of drawback. The higher rate of drawback is applicable when Cenvat facility has not been availed, whereas, appellant had availed the facility of Cenvat credit. The adjudicating authority, relying on the decision of Hon'ble High Court of Bombay at Nagpur Bench, in the case of CCE, Nagpur V/s Indorama Textiles Ltd. reported at 2006(200) ELT 3(BOM) concluded that appellant was not entitled to both rebate and higher rate of drawback and rejected the rebate claims.
- 3. Being aggrieved, the appellants have filed the present appeal before me. The appellants argued that the relied upon case law by adjudicating authority is in respect of manufactures and exporters of yarn whereas the appellant is only manufactures of yarn which exported through merchant exporter. Appellants further states that they had not claimed the duty drawback, it was claimed by the merchant exporter i.e. M/s Damodar Industries Ltd., Mumbai who had exported the yarn, preparing Shipping Bills and all the relevant documents.
- 4. A personal hearing in the matter was held on 05.10.2018 and Shri Sanjiv Kumar Singh, GST In-charge and Shri K.V.Parmar, Consultant, appeared for the same and reiterated the grounds of appeal.
- 5. I have carefully gone through the appeal. The short issue involved is whether rebate of duty paid on goods exported is admissible when higher rate of drawback (the drawback rate applicable when Cenvat facility has not been availed) has been claimed by the appellant. As per adjudicating authority, allowing rebate in such a situation would be double benefit,

whereas, appellant argues that they had not claimed the duty drawback, it was-claimed by the merchant exporter.

- 5.1 I find that the adjudicating authority has rightly relied on the judgement of Hon'ble High Court of Bombay in the case of CCE, Nagpur V/s Indorama Textiles Ltd. reported at 2006(200) ELT 3(BOM). In addition to that I also rely on the decision of Hon'ble Madras High Court in the case of Raghav Indusries Ltd v. UOI reported at 2016 (334) E.L.T. 584 (Mad.), I am of the view that the present issue is squarely covered in the decision of Madras High Court. The facts of two cases are very similar and to show this I quote para 2 of the decision where it is clearly stated that petitioner utilized the duty paid inputs without availing credit of duty paid on the materials as available under Cenvat Credit Rules, 2004 and that for paying duty on the goods exported, the petitioner utilizes the credit of duty paid on capital goods used in the manufacture of yarn.
 - 2. It is the case of the petitioner that they are manufacturers of synthetic and blended textile yarn made out of raw materials, viz., duty paid polyester staple fiber or polyester viscose staple fiber. The petitioner utilised the said duty paid inputs without availing the benefit of Cenvat credit of the duty paid on the materials, as available under the Cenvat Credit Rules, 2004. The petitioners exported finished goods viz., yarn to various countries on payment of excise duty on yarn. For paying the excise duty on the goods exported, the petitioner utilises the credit of duty paid on the capital goods used in the manufacture of such yarn.
- 5.2.1 I further extract para 14 to 18, wherein Hon'ble Court has found that petitioner is not entitled to claim both the rebates, i.e., rebate under rule 18 of the Central Excise Rules, 2002 and duty drawback under DBK Rules.
 - Duties and Service Tax Drawback Rules, 1995, a drawback may be allowed on the export of goods at such amount, or at such rates, as may be determined by the Central Government provided that where any goods are produced or manufactured from imported materials or excisable materials or by using any taxable services as input services, on some of which only the duty or tax chargeable thereon has been paid and not on the rest, or only a part of the duty or tax chargeable has been paid; or the duty or tax paid has been rebated or refunded in whole or in part or given as credit, under any of the provisions of the Customs Act, 1962 and the rules made thereunder, or of the Central Excise Act, 1944 and the rules made thereunder or of the Finance Act, 1994 and the rules made thereunder, the drawback admissible on the said goods shall be reduced taking into account the lesser duty or tax paid or the rebate, refund or credit obtained.
 - 15. In the judgment relied upon the learned counsel for the petitioner, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that the benefits of rebate on the input on one hand as well on the finished goods exported on the other hand shall fall within the provisions of Rule 18 of Central Excise Rules, 2002 and the exporters are entitled to both the rebates under the said Rule.

- 16. In the case on hand, the benefits claimed by the petitioners are covered under two different statutes - one under Customs, Central Excise Duties and Service Tax Drawback Rules, 1995 under Section 75 of the Customs Act, 1962 and the other under Rule 18 of the Central Excise Rules, 2002. Since the issue, involved in the present writ petition, is covered under two different statutes, the judgment relied upon by the learned counsel for the petitioner is not applicable to the facts of the present case.
- 17. As per the proviso to Rule 3 of the Customs, Central Excise Duties and Service Tax Drawback Rules, 1995, the petitioner is not entitled to claim both the rebates.
- 18. In these circumstances, the respondents have rightly rejected the claim made by the petitioners. I do not find any error in the order passed by the respondents and the writ petition is liable to be dismissed. Accordingly, the same is dismissed. No costs.
- 5.2.2 Therefore, considering that an identical issue stands decided by Hon'ble High Court of Bombay and Hon'ble Madras high Court, I am bound to follow the case laws and consequently, impugned order is liable to be upheld.
- In view of above discussions, I up held the impugned order passed by 6. the adjudicating authority and reject the appeal filed by the appellants.
- अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपीलों का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है। 7.
- The appeals filed by the appellant stand disposed off in above terms. 7.

(उमा शंकर)

3mizine

CENTRAL TAX (Appeals), AHMEDABAD.

ATTESTED

Superinte Central Tax (Appeals), Ahmedabad.

BY R.P.A.D

To,

M/s. Asarwa Mills (A Div. of Bengal Tea & Fabrics Ltd.), Asarwa Road, Ahmedabad.

Copy to:-

- The Chief Commissioner, Central Tax Zone, Ahmedabad.
- The Commissioner, Central Tax, Ahmedabad-North.
- The Dy./Asstt. Commissioner, CGST, Division-II, Ahmedabad-North.
- The Asstt. Commissioner, (Systems), CGST, Hq., Ahmedabad-North.
- 5. Guard file.
- P.A file. 6.

